





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/101,236	07/06/1998	YOSHIHIDE MATOBA	PM255089/SCC	9423	
75	90 06/16/2003				
FITHCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY			EXAMINER		
ATTN: Kendrew H. Colton 1801 K street NW,			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H		
Suite 4011					
Washington, DO	C 20006		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1631	10	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	18	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/101,236	MATOBA ET AL.				
, . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ardin Marschel	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	-			
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the contro	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extensoriginally set in the final Office action;	sion			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.		·				
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 2,20 and 37-42.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-18 and 21	<u>'-36</u> .	•				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	, , , , , ,	-				
		* x				



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The specific fugacity calculation formula proposed for claims 37, 42, and 43 is a new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

Also, the defining of parameter "N" in claims 37, 42, and 43 as a "residual amount" is NEW MATTER because the parameter "N" is differently defined in the specification as filed as "chemical mass" as set forth on page 2, line 3, and not a residual amount as now proposed in said claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons of record due to the above non-entry of the amendment and that arguments are primarily directed to said non-entered amendments. It is additionally responded that the arguments regarding Ex parte Forman and Wands are confusing as applicants apparently have generally attempted to amend the claims to be more specific as directed by the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based at least partially on said legal decisions. Applicants submit that an Examiner's affidavit or Declaration should be submitted. In response, the rejections of record are deemed sufficient and proper and it is not seen what added submission is needed for such documentation. Regarding instant claim 2 it was previously noted that pesticide movement is the subject matter of Nose which clearly is a human safety concern regarding exposure thereto..

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER